Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/440,340	HINCE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Deborah K. Ware	1651
All Participants:	Status of Application	:
(1) <u>Deborah K. Ware</u> .	(3) <u>Eirc Hince</u> .	
(2) <u>David Naff</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 December 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Ap Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	plicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: previous rejection removed but discussed potential new rejection	ection under 35 USC 112	
Claims discussed: all pending claims		
Prior art documents discussed: No art discussed.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT I	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer 	The examiner will provide a value record of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview.
Olah K. Wase		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	

Application No. 09/440,340

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiners proposed exmainer's amendment to place case into condition for allowance but the Applicant did not find the changes acceptable at this time and urged that support may be found in the specification for claims 68-69 and 74. However, Applicant did agree to changes regarding the objections to claims 76-77 but no changes made at this time since case has not been put into condition for allowance.